PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 2 2 DEC 2005

WIPO

POT

16647WO17815	e reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	R ACTION See Form PCT/IPEA/416								
International application PCT/IL2004/000960		International filing date (day/month/year) 21.10.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.10.2003								
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A23L1/29, A23D9/00, C11C3/08, A23C11/04, A23L1/30											
Applicant ENZYMOTEC LTD.											
This report is the Authority under	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.										
2. This REPORT of	onsists of a total o	of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.									
3. This report is als	so accompanied by	y ANNEXES, comprising:									
a. 🛭 sent to tf	a. 🛛 sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of 3 sheets, as follows:										
and/	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).										
beyo	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.										
sequenc	••										
4. This report conta	This report contains indications relating to the following items:										
	☑ Box No. I Basis of the opinion										
☑ Box No. I	Basis of the opin	nion									
☑ Box No. I □ Box No. II	Basis of the opin	nion									
	Priority	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability								
☐ Box No. II☐ Box No. III☐ Box No. IV	Priority	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve	entive step and industrial applicability								
☐ Box No. II☐ Box No. III☐ Box No. IV☐ Box No. V☐	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cita	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve invention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to n ations and explanations supporting such	novelty, inventive step or industrial								
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cital Certain documen	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve invention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to n tions and explanations supporting such nts cited	novelty, inventive step or industrial								
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cita Certain documer Certain defects it	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve invention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to n tions and explanations supporting such nts cited in the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial								
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cita Certain documer Certain defects it	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve invention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to n tions and explanations supporting such nts cited	novelty, inventive step or industrial								
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. IV ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VI	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cital Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observat	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inve invention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to n tions and explanations supporting such nts cited in the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								
☐ Box No. II ☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VIII ☐ Box No. VIII	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cital Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observat	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ment under Article 35(2) with regard to notions and explanations supporting such that cited in the international application tions on the international application Date of completio	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								
□ Box No. II □ Box No. III □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VIII □ Box No. VIIII □ Date of submission of the	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cital Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observat	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, investionement under Article 35(2) with regard to notions and explanations supporting such that cited in the international application tions on the international application	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								
□ Box No. II □ Box No. III □ Box No. IV □ Box No. V □ Box No. VI □ Box No. VIII □ Box No. VIIII □ Date of submission of the	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; cital Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observate e demand	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ment under Article 35(2) with regard to notions and explanations supporting such that cited in the international application tions on the international application Date of completion 21.12.2005	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VII Box No. VIII Abox No. VIII	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; citar Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observate e demand ss of the international thority: Patent Office	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ment under Article 35(2) with regard to notions and explanations supporting such ints cited in the international application tions on the international application Date of completion 21.12.2005 Authorized Officer	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								
Box No. II Box No. III Box No. IV Box No. V Box No. V Box No. VI Box No. VIII Box No. VIII Date of submission of the 24.07.2005 Name and mailing addre preliminary examining at European D-80298 N Tel. +498 N	Priority Non-establishme Lack of unity of it Reasoned stater applicability; citar Certain documer Certain defects it Certain observate e demand ss of the international thority: Patent Office	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, inversion ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nations and explanations supporting such ants cited in the international application tions on the international application Date of completion 21.12.2005 Authorized Officer Groh, B	novelty, inventive step or industrial statement								

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IL2004/000960

_	Box	No. I	Basis o	f the repor	t					
1.	With filed,	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.								
	\ []	which □ inte □ pul	is the langernational of	juage of a search (un	nslations from th translation furnis der Rules 12.3 a ational applicatio examination (u	shed for the pand 23.1(b)) on (under Ru	purposes of: ile 12.4)		anguage ,	
2.	have	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which</i> have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):								
	Desc	ription	n, Pages							
	1-26		as originally file	d						
	Claim	ıs, Nuı	mbers							
	1-15				received on 27.	07.2005 with I	letter of 25.07.	2005		
	□ a	a sequ	ıence listir	ng and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Sup	plemental Bo	ox Relating to	Sequence Listing	J .
3. l					ulted in the cand	ellation of:				•
			descriptic claims, N							
] the	drawings	sheets/figs	S					
	Ē	」 the ∃ any	sequence table(s) r	listing <i>(sp</i> elated to s	<i>ecity)</i> : equence listing ((specify):				
4.	had n Supp	ot bed lemen the the the the	en made, ntal Box (F descriptio claims, N drawings, sequence	since they tule 70.2(c) n, pages os. sheets/figs listing <i>(sp</i>	have been cons)).	ideréd to go	endments ar beyond the o	nnexed to this disclosure as	report and listed filed, as indicated	below in the
							heets mav	be marked	"superseded.	"

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/L2004/000960

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,6-13,15

No:

Claims

1,2,4,5,14

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

3,6-13,15

No: Claims

1,2,4,5,14

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item V

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: EP-A2-0 882 797 (UNILEVER N.V; UNILEVER PLC; LODERS CROKLAAN B.V) 9
 December 1998 (1998-12-09)
- D2: EP-A1-0 965 578 (SUNTORY LIMITED) 22 December 1999 (1999-12-22)
- D3: DATABASE MEDLINE [Online] US NATIONAL LIBRARY OF MEDICINE (NLM), BETHESDA, MD, US; November 1997 (1997-11), LUCAS A ET AL: "Randomised controlled trial of a synthetic triglyceride milk formula for preterm infants." XP002315783 Database accession no. NLM9462186
- D4: US-A-4 876 107 (KING ET AL) 24 October 1989 (1989-10-24)
- D5: US-A-3 542 560 (RUDOLPH M. TOMARELLI et al) 24 Nov. 1970 (1970-11-24)
- D6: DATABASE FSTA [Online] INTERNATIONAL FOOD INFORMATION SERVICE (IFIS), FRANKFURT-MAIN, DE; 1996, ANONYMOUS: "Betapol, a breakthrough in infant formula fats." XP002315801 Database accession no. 96-1-08-n0030

1 Amendments

The amendments appear to meet the requirements of Art. 41(2) PCT.

2 Novelty (Art. 33(2) PCT)

In view of the applicant's reply to the written opinion of the ISA, the following is noted:

- A. Claims 1 to 6 relate to a "fat base composition". It is noted that said expression is not defined in the application as filed. It is stated in the application that "the present invention describes novel fat compositions which are components in the preparation of fat blends and infant formulas ..." (see p. 1, first paragraph).
 - If it was the intention of the applicant to have the present application limited to fat concentrates, then said feature would have been an essential feature, which

consequently must have had been part of the claims.

Since that is not the case, the expression "fat base composition" is **not** limited to fat compositions, which must be diluted, blended etc. before use. Any fat composition, which is suitable to be a component in the preparation of fat blends and infant formulas in the filed of infant nutritional foods will be considered relevant during the examination of the present application.

B. The feature in the claims "mixture of (...triglycerides)" is not further specified in the application. As long as more than one type of triglyceride is present, even in a small amount, the requirement of "mixture of (...triglycerides)" is fulfilled.

1.1 Novelty over D1

Enzymatically prepared 1,3-oleic,2-palmitic-triglycerides (OPO-triglyceride) are known in the art.

D1 discloses enzymatically prepared OPO-triglycerides, for example as human milk fat replacers (see page 2, lines 2-3, page 3, lines 2-8, example 4 and claim 1 of D1). The OPO-triglycerides are prepared form vegetable sources.

Even though purification steps are mentioned in D1, the OPO-triglycerides are produced by enzymatic treatment, which never leads to pure products (here: 100% OPO-triglyceride).

Therefore, the fat composition resulting from the process disclosed in D1 is understood to be a fat composition comprising a mixture of triglycerides, which meet the requirements of present claims 1, 2 and 14

Present claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 14 are not new over D1.

1.2 Novelty in view of D3 (Betapol™)

The previous objection is dropped, because the fat composition Betapol™ appears

(according to D3) not to contain at least 60% (w/w) of palmitic acid residues at the sn-2 position.

2 Inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT)

2.1 In view of D2

D2 is about a novel triglyceride composition, used for example in formula for premature infants and term infants, or added to milk, or blended with other oils or fats (see par. [0040]).

The lipid composition of example 4 in D2 comprises 34% palmitic acid (C16:0), which is located to 96% in the position 2 of the triglyceride (see Table 1). Linoleic acid (C16:2) is present at 15%, in a ratio of 22:1 (= essentially all) at the positions 1,3 of the triglycerides. The triglyceride blend is produced by esterification.

The only difference to the present application is that D2 does not specify if the source of the triglycerides is vegetable or non-vegetable.

The selection of vegetable lipids (over non-vegetable lipids) for the production of the triglycerides in D2 is considered a selection among limited alternatives, which is not sufficient to provide an inventive step over the prior art, especially since no unexpected effect has been shown, which derives from the specific choice of vegetable ingredients.

The applicant's argumentation was considered. The examiner refers to the above notes A and B. The examiner further issues the opinion that, -independently to how closely a match the fat composition of D2 to the human breast milk fat is-, the triglyceride composition of D2 is suitable for infant nutritional foods (see also D2, claim 8). The examiner maintains the objection issued in the written opinion:

Claims 1, 2 and 14 do not involve an inventive step over D2.

2.2 In view of D4

The applicant's argumentation was considered. The examiner refers to the above notes A and B.

Prior art D4 discloses a substitute (human) milk fat composition for feeding young infants. The total amount of palmitic acid (see Table 3 and claim 1) is less than 33%. The amount of palmitic acid in the 2-position is more than 50%, for the blends number 1 and 4, it is 57 %.

There is no unexpected effect apparent from the small increase of the amount of palmitic acid in the 2-position of the triglycerides from 57 to 60%.

The examiner maintains the objection issued in the written opinion: Claims 1, 2 and 14 do not involve an inventive step over D4.

2.3 Inventive step of claim 3 and related claims

There is no indication in the prior art for a substitute human milk fat composition, produced by combining an enzymatically prepared fat base as defined in claim 1 characterized in that the fatty acid moieties at the sn-2 position are between 60 and 70% (w/w).

Claim 3, and the claims depending thereof are found to involve an inventive step.

2.4 Inventive step of claim 7 and related claims

Substitute human milk fat compositions per se are known in the are (see search report). It is also known in the art to blend or add lipids with special triglyceride pattern to traditional food. See, for example claim 1 of D5, where a fat with a high content of beta-palmitic acid is blended with a milk product, in order to obtain a product, wherein the lipids resemble human milk fat.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IL2004/000960

However, there is no indication in the prior art for a substitute human milk fat composition, produced by combining an enzymatically prepared fat base as defined in claim 1 with at least one vegetable oil in the ratio defined in claim 7.

Claim 7, and the depending claims thereof are found to involve an inventive step.

3 Clarity (Art. 6 PCT)

- 3.1 Claims 5 and 6 are related to all previous claims, and further defined by reference to "said unsaturated fatty acid moieties". Claims 1 through 3, however, do not have any reference to unsaturated fatty acid moieties.
- 3.2 Claim 11 refers in the last line of the claim to a step d), which is not present (anymore).
- 3.3 Claim 12 refers to a step e), which is not present (anymore).

To:+49 89 23994465

IL 04791829


16647WO17815

27.




Claims:

- 1. An enzymatically prepared fat base composition comprising a mixture of vegetable-derived triglycerides, characterized in that: the total palmitic acid residues content is at most 38%w/w of the total fatty acid residues; at least 60%w/w of the fatty acid moieties at the sn-2 position of the glycerol backbone are palmitic acid residues.
- 2. The fat base composition of claim 1, wherein at least $62\% \frac{w/w}{w}$ of the total palmitic acid residues are at the sn-2 position of the glycerol backbone.
- 3. The fat composition of claim 1 or claim 2, wherein up to 70%w/w of the total palmitic acid moieties are at the sn-2 position of the glycerol moiety.
- 34. The fat base composition of <u>any one of claims 1 to 3er 2</u>, wherein at least 70%w/w of the fatty acid moieties at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions of the glycerol backbone are <u>oleic acid and other unsaturated fatty acid moieties</u>.
- 45. The fat base composition of any one of claims 1 to 43, wherein at least $40\% \frac{\text{w/w}}{\text{w}}$, preferably $40-60\% \frac{\text{w/w}}{\text{w}}$, of said unsaturated fatty acid moieties at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions are cleic acid moieties.
- 56. The fat base composition of any one of claims 1 to 54, wherein at least 6%w/w, preferably 6-17%w/w, of said unsaturated fatty acid moieties at the sn-1 and sn-3 positions are linoleic acid moieties
- 67. A substitute human milk fat composition comprising a blend of at least 25% of the fat base composition of any one of claims 1 to 5-6 with up to 75% of at least one vegetable oil.







16647WO17815

BEST AVAILABLE CO-

1415. Use of the fat composition of any one of claims 67 and 78 in the preparation of an infant formula.